

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,194	09/21/2001	Seiji Ohno	NSG-201US	6387	
23122	7590 02/11/2003				
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			MONDT, JO	MONDT, JOHANNES P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
DATE MAILED, O			DATE MAIL ED. 02/11/2007	,	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
* Advisory Action	09/937,194	OHNO ET AL.			
ų.	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Johannes P Mondt	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examin	er.		
9. Note the attached Information wisdow and Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	MER .	-			
			i		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new further limitations of the independent claims, particularly the final lines of both claims 1 and 7 (previously not part of the composite claim language (a) of claims 1 and 5 and (b) of claim 7, require further consideration and search.

_